

Communication

A Case for Biological Zeros

Adrian Mallia¹ and Patrick J. Schembri

Department of Biology, University of Malta, Msida, Malta

Most ecological work on rocky shores entails the quantification of species distributions and abundances relative to some convenient and accurate datum point. The most commonly used are tide levels and chart data. The problem arises when tides are virtually absent, as in most of the Mediterranean Sea or when accurate chart data are not available.

In the Maltese Islands there is only one datum point, located in the Grand Harbour, Valletta (14° 30.64' E, 35° 53.60' N). A number of bench marks and trigonometric stations of various orders are found scattered all over the Islands, but these are not always located very close to the shore so that levelling work from these stations to a particular study site may become quite a laborious task which may exceed the capabilities of most ecologists. Furthermore, some of these marks are old and location data for them are untraceable, while others have turned out to be inaccurate (William, E. personal communication, 1993). In fact, a project is currently underway by the Mapping Unit of the Planning Authority to recalibrate these stations and to establish new bench marks. A further complicating factor is that different charts make use of different datum points. Thus, while Admiralty Charts use a zero point (Chart datum) which is the level of lowest astronomical tide and the level to which all bathymetric soundings are referred, all heights shown on the official Government of Malta survey sheets use a datum point which is 0.5859m above the Admiralty Chart datum and which is taken to be mean sea level (MSL) for the Maltese Islands. Additionally, there is also a Public Works Department (PWD) datum which is 0.4100m above the Admiralty Chart datum. It is not always clearly stated which datum points charts and maps are based on.

This state of affairs has resulted in field workers having to resort to some other (possibly less accurate) datum point with which to relate all their data.

By far the most commonly used reference point is the so-called "biological zero", that is, the upper limit of phacophyceans of the genus *Cystoseira* quality (cfr. Boudouresque and Cinelli, 1976). These shrubby brown algae form a wide, mainly infralittoral, belt on most Mediterranean shores and in most places stop forming a consistent belt at about mean sea level. This has led

some workers to utilise species of this genus as indicators of mean sea level. Different species or combinations of species occur in different parts of the Mediterranean. In the Maltese Islands the most commonly occurring species at sea level are *C. stricta*, *C. cottaressa*, *C. holosericea* and *T. harbinii* (Attrard and Giglio, 1990; Borg, 1992; Calleja, 1991; Camilleri and Elcri-Soler, 1991; Vella, 1990).

Obviously, the position of this datum is somewhat subjective and cannot be determined with the same precision as for surveyed levels, which may be accurate to the nearest millimetre. Nonetheless there seems to be some relationship between this datum and mean sea level as any visit to the seashore on a calm day would suggest. It should also be kept in mind that the zonation of these algae on the shore is expected not to depend solely on physical factors, but also on biotic ones. The fact that different species, with different tolerances, occur in different parts of the Mediterranean and possibly also on different shores in the same geographical locality, also means that "biological zero" may not be the same everywhere.

As part of a wider project aimed at studying the zonation patterns of rocky shore communities in the Maltese Islands (Mallia, 1993), a first attempt was made to quantify the relationship, if any, between this "biological zero" and mean sea level for the Maltese Islands.

This was done by fixing a 4cm long brass stud in a pre-drilled hole by means of Araldik adhesive at two stations, Qawra and Dħalet ix-Xmajar on the north-eastern coast of Malta. The height of this stud above biological zero was determined using a slightly modified version of the can and tube method described by Ediffon Jones (1980). Next, the height of the studs above the MSL datum was determined using the level and staff method and starting from the nearest trigonometric station or bench mark. The difference between the two measurements was then calculated (see Table I).

These results show that although the biological zero does not coincide with the MSL datum, the difference between the two is fairly constant. The difference

¹Present Address: Environmental Management Unit, Planning Authority, St Francis Ravelin, Floriana

LOCATION	Height of stud above "biological zero" (bottle and tube)	Hcight of stud above MSL (level and staff)	Difference in heights
Qawra	0.87m	1.10m	0.23m
Da Met ix-Xmajjar	0.88m	1.12m	0.24m

Table 1. Comparison of height data for the two localities.

between the two localities (0.01m) is very small and within the error range of the can and tube method. Therefore, these results indicate that in the absence of an accurate, levited datum, the biological zero is a reliable substitute datum point for ecological work on rocky shores.

Recent work in the Maltese Islands has also shown that other organisms might also be useful as indicators of sea level. For example, Azzopardi (1992) found that the limacine shell aperture of the reef-forming, vermicide gastropod *Derradropoma petraeum*, which on Maltese shores extends its range of distribution well into the lower mediolittoral zone, is lowest towards mean sea level and increases on either side of it. Such organisms may be used as indicators on shores where a definite *Cyrtowindbelt* is missing.

References

Attard L and Giglio MP (1990) *Zonation patterns on a Maltese rocky shore: Qalet Marku*. Unpublished B.Sc. dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; 167pp.

Azzopardi L (1992) *Aspects of the ecology of vermetid gastropods on Maltese rocky shores*. Unpublished B.Sc. dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; vi + 163pp.

Bong R (1992) *Zonation patterns around the coast of Qormi peninsula, Malta*. Unpublished B.Sc.

dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; ix + 164pp.

Boudouresque CF and Cinelli F (1976) *Le peuplement algal des biotopes sciaphiles superficiels de mode battu en Méditerranée occidentale. Publ. Staz. Zool. Napoli*, 40, 433-459.

Calceja M (1991) *Algal zonation and epiphytes along a Maltese rocky coast*. Unpublished B.Sc. dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; vii + 136pp.

Camilleri MV and Flori Soler L (1991) *A comparative study of the microphytic algae of three sites situated along the north-east coast of Malta*. Unpublished B.Sc. dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; iii + 126pp + appendices.

Edgington W (1980) Field teaching methods in shore ecology. In: *The shore environment* Vol II: *Methods* (Eds WF Farnham, DEG Zane and JH Price), pp. 19-44. Academic Press, London.

Mallia A (1993) *Effect of exposure on rocky shore zonation patterns in the Maltese Islands*. Unpublished MSc. dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; vii + 242pp.

Vella MM (1990) *A study of the variation between the algal communities of an exposed and sheltered site along the Maltese coast*. Unpublished B.Sc. dissertation, Faculty of Science, University of Malta; viii + 124pp.

Williams E (1993) Department of Land Surveying, University of East London, Essex.